

NON-ARCHIMEDEAN FUZZY MENGER SPACES

Arjun kumar Mehra

Research Scholor, Rani Durgavati Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur,(MP),India

Manoj Kumar Shukla

Department of Mathematics, Institute for Excellence in Higher Education, Bhopal (MP)

Abstract: In this paper we study Random Probabilistic metric space known as non-Archimedean Random Probabilistic metric space. Our object in this section is to study on fixed points in non-Archimedean Random Probabilistic Space for quasi-contraction type pair .

Keywords: -Archimedean random probabilistic metric spaces, Cauchy Sequence, Random Fixed Point.

AMS Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Introduction

Istrătescu and Crivăt [13] first studied Non-Archimedean probabilistic metric spaces and some topological preliminaries on them. Achari [1] studied the fixed points of quasi-contraction type mappings in non-Archimedean PM-spaces and generalized the results of Istrătescu [12]. The fundamental results of Sehgal-Bharucha-Reid [17], Sherwood [18], were generalized and extended by many authors out of which some prominent one's are Achari [1], Chang [2, 3, 4, 5], Chang S.S. and Huang, N. J. [6], Cirić [7], Hadžić [8, 9, 10, 11], Istrătescu and Sacuiu [14], Vasuki [24], Mishra S.N., Singh S.L. and Talwar R. [16], Singh & Pant [19,20,21,22,23] etc.

2. PRELIMINARIES:

Now we recall some well know established definitions :-

Definition 2.1: A Random probabilistic metric space is an ordered pair $(\Omega \times X, F)$ where X is a nonempty set, Ω be set of all random distribution function and $F: (\Omega \times X) \times (\Omega \times X) \rightarrow \Omega$ (collection of all random distribution functions). The value of $F((\omega, x), (\omega, y))$ at $(\omega, u) \in (\Omega \times X) \times (\Omega \times X)$ is represented by $F((\omega, x), (\omega, y))(\omega, u)$ satisfy the following conditions:

[RPM – 1] $F((\omega, x), (\omega, y))(\omega, u) = \omega$ if and only if $(\omega, x) = (\omega, y)$

[RPM – 2]. $F((\omega, x), (\omega, y))(\omega) = (\omega)$ for every $(\omega, x), (\omega, y) \in \Omega \times X$

[RPM – 3]. $F((\omega, x), (\omega, y))(\omega, u) = F((\omega, y), (\omega, x))(\omega, u)$

for every $(\omega, x), (\omega, y) \in \Omega \times X$

[RPM – 4]. $F((\omega, x), (\omega, y))(\omega, u) = \omega$ and $F((\omega, y), (\omega, z))(\omega, v) = \omega$

then $F((\omega, x), (\omega, z))((\omega, u) + (\omega, v)) = \omega$

for every $(\omega, x), (\omega, y), (\omega, z) \in \Omega \times X$.

A Random Probabilistic Metric Space $(\Omega \times X, F)$ is called non-Archimedean RPM – space if it satisfies

[RPM – 5]. $F((\omega, x), (\omega, y))(\omega, u) = \omega$ and $F((\omega, y), (\omega, z))(\omega, v) = \omega$

then $F((\omega, x), (\omega, z))\max((\omega, u), (\omega, v)) = \omega$

for every $(\omega, x), (\omega, y), (\omega, z) \in \Omega \times X$ instead of [RPM – 4].

Definition 2.2: A mapping $T: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called t-norm if

1. $T(a, 1) = a, \forall a \in [0, 1]$
2. $T(0, 0) = 0,$

3. $T(a, b) = T(b, a)$,
4. $T(c, d) \geq T(a, b)$ for $c \geq a, d \geq b$, i.e. T is non-decreasing in both co-ordinates
5. $T(T(a, b), c) = T(a, T(b, c)) \forall a, b, c \in [0, 1]$
i.e. T is associative.

Definition 2.3: In addition of definition 2.1, if T is continuous on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and $T(a, a) < a, a \in [0, 1]$, then T is called an Archimedean t -norm. A characterization of Archimedean t -norm is due to Ling [15]. He proved that a t -norm T is Archimedean if and only if it admits the representation,

$$T(a, b) = g^{-1}[g(a) + g(b)]$$

where g is continuous and decreasing function from $[0, 1]$ to $[0, \infty]$ with $g(1) = 0$ and $g(0) = \infty$ and g^{-1} is the pseudo inverse of g , (c.f. Chang [2]) $(g \circ g^{-1})(a) = a$, for all a in the range of g .

The continuous decreasing function g appearing in this characterization is called an additive generator of the Archimedean t -norm T .

Definition 2.4: A non-Archimedean Random Probabilistic space is an ordered triplet $(\Omega \times X, F, T)$ where $(\Omega \times X, F)$ is non-Archimedean RPM-space, T is a t -norm with the non-Archimedean triangle inequality;

$$F((\omega, x), (\omega, z)) \max\{(\omega, u), (\omega, v)\}$$

$$\geq T\{F((\omega, x), (\omega, y))(\omega, u), F((\omega, y), (\omega, z))(\omega, v)\}$$

$$\text{as } F((\omega, x), (\omega, y))(\omega, u) = \omega \text{ and } F((\omega, y), (\omega, z))(\omega, v) = \omega$$

$$\text{then } F((\omega, x), (\omega, z)) \max((\omega, u), (\omega, v)) = \omega$$

Definition 2.5: (Achari[1]) Let $(\Omega \times X, F, T)$ be a non-Archimedean Random Probabilistic Metric space and let g be an additive generator, and let α be a number such that $0 < \alpha < 1$. A mapping $T: \Omega \times X \rightarrow \Omega \times X$ is a quasi-contraction mapping on $\Omega \times X$ with respect g and α if for every $(\omega, x), (\omega, y) \in \Omega \times X$

$$g\{F(T(\omega, x), T(\omega, y))(\omega, u)\} \leq \alpha g \max \left\{ F\left((\omega, x), (\omega, y)\right)\left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{\alpha}\right), F\left((\omega, x), T(\omega, x)\right)\left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{\alpha}\right), F\left((\omega, y), T(\omega, y)\right)\left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{\alpha}\right) \right\}$$

Definition 2.6: (Achari[1]) A mapping $T: \Omega \times X \rightarrow \Omega \times X$ is a quasi-contraction type map on a non-Archimedean RPM-space $(\Omega \times X, F)$ if and only if there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$F(T(\omega, x), T(\omega, y))(\omega, u) \leq \max \left\{ F\left((\omega, x), (\omega, y)\right)\left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{\alpha}\right), F\left((\omega, x), T(\omega, x)\right)\left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{\alpha}\right), F\left((\omega, y), T(\omega, y)\right)\left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{\alpha}\right) \right\}$$

for all $(\omega, x), (\omega, y) \in \Omega \times X$ and $(\omega, u) > 0, 0 < \alpha < 1$.

This can be interpreted as the random probability that the distance between the image points $T(\omega, x), T(\omega, y)$ is less than (ω, u) is at least equal to the probability that the maximum distances between $(\omega, x), (\omega, y)$ and $(\omega, x), T(\omega, x)$ and $(\omega, y), T(\omega, y)$ is less than (ω, u) .

Definition 2.7: Let $(\Omega \times X, F)$ be a non-Archimedean RPM-Space and let g be an additive generator, and let α be a number such that $0 < \alpha < 1$. The mappings $G, T: \Omega \times X \rightarrow \Omega \times X$ is called a quasi-contraction type pair of mappings on X with respect g and α if for every $(\omega, x), (\omega, y) \in \Omega \times X$ and $(\omega, u) > 0$,

$$g\{F(G(\omega, x), T(\omega, y))(\omega, u)\} \leq \alpha g \max \left\{ F\left((\omega, x), (\omega, y)\right)\left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{\alpha}\right), F\left((\omega, x), G(\omega, x)\right)\left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{\alpha}\right), F\left((\omega, y), T(\omega, y)\right)\left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{\alpha}\right) \right\}$$

Definition 2.8: Mappings $G, T: \Omega \times X \rightarrow \Omega \times X$ is a quasi-contraction type pair of maps on a non-Archimedean RPM-space $(\Omega \times X, F)$ if and only if there exists a constant $a \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\{F(G(\omega, x), T(\omega, y))(\omega, u)\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F((\omega, x), (\omega, y)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), F((\omega, x), G(\omega, x)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), \\ F((\omega, y), T(\omega, y)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $(\omega, x), (\omega, y) \in \Omega \times X$ and $(\omega, u) > 0, 0 < a < 1$.

3. MAIN RESULT:

We establish fixed point theorems for a quasi-contraction type pair and a triplet of maps on complete non-Archimedean Random Probabilistic space.

Theorem 3.1: Let $(\Omega \times X, F, T)$ be a non Archimedean Random Probabilistic Space under the Archimedean t-norm T , with the additive generator g . Let G and T be two self mappings of $\Omega \times X$ into itself satisfying;

$$(3.1(a)) g\{F(G(\omega, x), T(\omega, y))(\omega, u)\} \leq ag \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F((\omega, x), (\omega, y)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), F((\omega, x), G(\omega, x)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \\ F((\omega, y), T(\omega, y)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), F((\omega, y), G(\omega, x)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $(\omega, x), (\omega, y) \in \Omega \times X$ and $(\omega, u) > 0, 0 < a < 1$.

(3.1(b)) G and T are continuous on $\Omega \times X$.

Then G and T have a unique common fixed point in $\Omega \times X$.

Proof: Let $(\omega, x_0) \in \Omega \times X$ be a arbitrary element and $\{(\omega, x_n)\}$ be a sequence in X such that

$$(\omega, x_{2n+1}) = G(\omega, x_{2n}), (\omega, x_{2n+2}) = T(\omega, x_{2n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \dots, \dots$$

be the sequence of iterates under the pair $\{G, T\}$ at (ω, x_0) .

Now from (3.1(a))

$$\begin{aligned} g\{F(\omega, x_1), (\omega, x_2)(\omega, u)\} &= g\{FG(\omega, x_0), T(\omega, x_1)(\omega, u)\} \\ &\leq ag \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F((\omega, x_0), (\omega, x_1)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), F((\omega, x_0), G(\omega, x_0)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), \\ F((\omega, x_1), T(\omega, x_1)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), F((\omega, x_1), G(\omega, x_0)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq ag \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F((\omega, x_0), (\omega, x_1)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), F((\omega, x_0), (\omega, x_1)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), \\ F((\omega, x_1), (\omega, x_2)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), F((\omega, x_1), (\omega, x_1)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq ag \left\{ F((\omega, x_0), (\omega, x_1)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \right\} \\ g\left\{ F((\omega, x_1), (\omega, x_2)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \right\} &\leq ag \left\{ F((\omega, x_0), (\omega, x_1)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Again,

$$g\left\{ F((\omega, x_2), (\omega, x_3)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \right\} \leq g\left\{ F((\omega, x_1), (\omega, x_2)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \right\}$$

$$\leq ag \left\{ F((\omega, x_1), (\omega, x_2)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \right\}$$

$$\leq a^2 g \left\{ F((\omega, x_0), (\omega, x_1)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a^2} \right) \right\}$$

Therefore,

$$g \left\{ F((\omega, x_2), (\omega, x_3)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \right\} \leq a^2 g \left\{ F((\omega, x_0), (\omega, x_1)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a^2} \right) \right\}$$

Hence it follows by induction that for every positive integer n,

$$g \left\{ F((\omega, x_n), (\omega, x_{n+1})) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \right\} \leq a^n g \left\{ F((\omega, x_0), (\omega, x_1)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a^2} \right) \right\} \dots \dots \dots (3.1.1)$$

Now for $m > n > 0$ and $(\omega, u) > 0$ we have,

$$F((\omega, x_{2n+1}), (\omega, x_{2n+2m})) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \geq T \{ F((\omega, x_{2n+1}), (\omega, x_{2n+2})) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), F((\omega, x_{2n+2}), (\omega, x_{2n+2m})) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \}$$

$$F((\omega, x_{2n+1}), (\omega, x_{2n+2}))(\omega, u), F((\omega, x_{2n+2}), (\omega, x_{2n+2m}))(\alpha(\omega, u)) \}$$

Since $\alpha < 1$ and T is non decreasing and (RPM-5)

$$\begin{aligned} & F((\omega, x_{2n+1}), (\omega, x_{2n+2m}))(\omega, u) \\ & \geq T \left\{ F((\omega, x_{2n+1}), (\omega, x_{2n+2}))(\omega, u), F((\omega, x_{2n+1}), (\omega, x_{2n+2}))(\alpha(\omega, u)), \right. \\ & \quad \left. , F((\omega, x_{2n+2}), (\omega, x_{2n+2m}))(\alpha^2(\omega, u)) \right\} \\ & \geq T \left\{ T(F((\omega, x_{2n+1}), (\omega, x_{2n+2}))(\omega, u), F((\omega, x_{2n+2}), (\omega, x_{2n+3}))(\alpha(\omega, u))), \right. \\ & \quad \left. F((\omega, x_{2n+2}), (\omega, x_{2n+2m}))(\alpha^2(\omega, u)) \right\} \\ & = g^{-1} \{ g[T(F((\omega, x_{2n+1}), (\omega, x_{2n+2}))(\omega, u), F((\omega, x_{2n+2}), (\omega, x_{2n+3}))(\alpha(\omega, u))] \\ & \quad + g[F((\omega, x_{2n+2}), (\omega, x_{2n+2m}))(\alpha^2(\omega, u))] \} \\ \\ & = g^{-1} \{ g[g^{-1} \{ g[F((\omega, x_{2n+1}), (\omega, x_{2n+2}))(\omega, u)] + g[F((\omega, x_{2n+2}), (\omega, x_{2n+3}))(\alpha(\omega, u))] \}] \\ & \quad + g[F((\omega, x_{2n+2}), (\omega, x_{2n+2m}))(\alpha^2(\omega, u))] \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq g^{-1} \left\{ g \left[g^{-1} \left\{ \alpha^{2n+1} g \left[F((\omega, x_0)(\omega, x_1)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{\alpha^{2n+1}} \right) \right] \right\} \right] \dots \dots \dots \right\}$$

$$\geq g^{-1} \left\{ g \left[g^{-1} \left\{ \alpha^{2n+1} g \left[F((\omega, x_0)(\omega, x_1)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{\alpha^{2n+1}} \right) \right] \right\} \right] \dots \dots \dots \right\}$$

$$+ \alpha^{2n+2} g \left[F((\omega, x_0)(\omega, x_1)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{\alpha^{2n+1}} \right) \right]$$

$$+ g[F((\omega, x_{2n+2m-1})(\omega, x_{2n+2m}))(\alpha^{2m-2}(\omega, u))] \}$$

Hence we conclude $\{(\omega, x_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, since g^{-1} and g are continuous,
 $a \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $F((\omega, x), (\omega, y))(\omega, u) \rightarrow \omega$ as $(\omega, u) \rightarrow \infty$ and $g^{-1}(0) = \omega$. Since $((\Omega \times X), F, T)$ is complete there is point $(\omega, z) \in \Omega \times X$ such that $(\omega, x_n) \rightarrow (\omega, z)$.

According to Istrătescu and Sacuiu [8], the subsequences $\{(\omega, x_n)\}, \{(\omega, x_{n+1})\}$ converges to (ω, z) i.e. $(\omega, x_n) \rightarrow (\omega, z), (\omega, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow (\omega, z)$ continuity of G and T implies $G(\omega, x_n) \rightarrow G(\omega, z), T(\omega, x_n) \rightarrow T(\omega, z)$.

We shall now show that (ω, z) is common fixed point of G and T.

However we have,

$$\begin{aligned} F((\omega, z), G(\omega, z))(\omega, u) &\geq T \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F((\omega, z), (\omega, x_{2n}))(\omega, u), \\ F((\omega, x_{2n}), G(\omega, z))(\omega, u) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= g^{-1} \{ g[F((\omega, z), (\omega, x_{2n}))(\omega, u)] + g[F((\omega, x_{2n}), G(\omega, z))(\omega, u)] \} \\ &= g^{-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g[F((\omega, z), (\omega, x_{2n}))(\omega, u)] \\ + g[F(T(\omega, x_{2n-1}), G(\omega, z))(\omega, u)] \end{array} \right\} \\ &= g^{-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g[F((\omega, z), (\omega, x_{2n}))(\omega, u)] \\ + ag \left[F((\omega, x_{2n-2}), (\omega, z)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \right] \end{array} \right\} \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^{-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g[F((\omega, z), (\omega, x_{2n}))(\omega, u)] \\ + ag[F((\omega, z), (\omega, x_{2n-1}))((\omega, u))/a] \end{array} \right\} = \omega \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1(a)) and (3.1(b)) we get $G(\omega, z) = (\omega, z)$.

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} F((\omega, z), G(\omega, z))(\omega, u) &\geq T \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F((\omega, z), (\omega, x_{2n+1}))(\omega, u), \\ F((\omega, x_{2n+1}), T(\omega, z))(\omega, u) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= g^{-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g[F((\omega, z), (\omega, x_{2n+1}))(\omega, u)] \\ + g[F((\omega, x_{2n+1}), T(\omega, z))(\omega, u)] \end{array} \right\} \\ &= g^{-1} \{ g[F((\omega, z), (\omega, x_{2n+1}))(\omega, u)] + g[F(G(\omega, x_{2n}), T(\omega, z))(\omega, u)] \} \\ &= g^{-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g[F((\omega, z), (\omega, x_{2n+1}))(\omega, u)] \\ + ag[F((\omega, x_{2n}), T(\omega, z))((\omega, u)/a)] \end{array} \right\} \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^{-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g[F((\omega, z), (\omega, x_{2n+1}))(\omega, u)] \\ + ag[F((\omega, x_{2n}), T(\omega, z))((\omega, u)/a)] \end{array} \right\} = \omega \end{aligned}$$

Thus (ω, z) is common fixed point of G and T.

In order to show that (ω, z) is the only common fixed point of G and T, if possible let (ω, w) be any other common fixed point of G and T

We have from (3.1(a))

$$\begin{aligned} F((\omega, z), (\omega, w))(\omega, u) &= F(G(\omega, z), T(\omega, w))(\omega, u) \\ g\{F((\omega, z), (\omega, w))(\omega, u)\} &= g\{F(G(\omega, z), T(\omega, w))(\omega, u)\} \\ &\leq ag \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F((\omega, z), (\omega, w)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), F((\omega, z), G(\omega, z)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), \\ F((\omega, w), T(\omega, w)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right), F((\omega, w), G(\omega, z)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq ag \left\{ F((\omega, z), (\omega, w)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $g\{F((\omega, z), (\omega, w))(\omega, u)\} \leq ag \left\{ F((\omega, z), (\omega, w)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \right\} < g \left\{ F((\omega, z), (\omega, w)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right) \right\}$ since $a < 1$.

This implies $F((\omega, z), (\omega, w))(\omega, u) \geq F((\omega, z), (\omega, w)) \left(\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} \right)$ since g is decreasing function.

This gives a contradiction, as $\frac{(\omega, u)}{a} > (\omega, u)$ as $a < 1$ and $F_{ax,y}(u)$ is non decreasing function.

This implies $(\omega, z) = (\omega, w)$

This completes the proof.

References

- [1] Achari J., "Fixed point theorems for a class of mappings on non Archimedean probabilistic metric spaces", *Mathematica, Tome 25(48) No-1, (1983)*, 5-9.
- [2] Chang S.S., "Fixed point theorems for single valued and multi valued mappings in non-Archimedean Menger probabilistic metric spaces", *Math. Japonica 35, No-5, (1990)* 875-885.
- [3] Chang S.S., "The metrization of probabilistic metric spaces with application", *Review of research priodno-mathematickog fakultete Novi sad 15, (1985)*, 107-117.
- [4] Chang S.S., "On common fixed point theorem for a family of O*-Contraction mappings", *Math. Japonica, 29 No-4, (1984)*, 527-536.
- [5] Chang S.S., "On the theory of probabilistic metric spaces with application", *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie, verw Gebiete 67, (1984)*, 85-94.
- [6] Chang S.S. and Huang N.J, "On generalized 2 metric spaces and probabilistic 2 metric spaces with application to fixed point theory", *Math. Japonica 34 No-6 (1989)*, 885-900.
- [7] Čirić L.B., "On fixed points of generalized contractions on probabilistic metric spaces", *Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd, 18(38), (1975)*, 71-78.
- [8] Hadzic O., "A fixed point theorem for a class of mappings in probabilistic locally convex spaces", *Publ. De. L' Instut. Match. Tom 21(35), (1977)*, 81-87.
- [9] Hadzic O., "A note on Istrătescu's fixed point theorem in non Archimedean Menger spaces", *Bull Match. Soc. Match. Rep. Soc. Roum. T. 24(72) No.3, (1980)*, 277-280
- [10] Hadzic O., "Fixed point theorems for multi valued mappings in probabilistic metric spaces", *Match. Vesnik, 3 (16) (31) (1979)*, 125-133
- [11] Hadzic O., "Fixed point theorems in Probabilistic metric and Random normed spaces", *Fuzzy Set and System Vol.88 Issue 2, 1 June(1997)*, 219-226.
- [12] Istrătescu V.I., "Fixed point theorems for some classes of contraction mappings on non Archimedean Probabilistic metric spaces", *Publ. Math. T.no.1-2,(1978)*, 29-34
- [13] Istrătescu V.I. and Crivăt N., "On some classes of non-Archimedean Probabilistic metric spaces", *Seminar de spatiu metrice probabiliste, Universitatea Timisoara, Nr., 12, 1974*.
- [14] Istrătescu V.I. and Săcuiu I., "Fixed point theorem for contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces", *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures. Appl. 18 (1973)*, 1375-1380.
- [15] Ling C.H., "Representation of associative functions", *Publ. Math. T. 112,(1965)*, 189-212.
- [16] Mishra S.N., Singh S.L. and Talwar Rekha, "Non linear hybrid contraction on Menger and uniform spaces", *Indian. J. Pure. Appl. Math.25(10), (1994)*, 1039-1052.
- [17] Sehgal V. M. and Bharucha-Reid A.T., "Fixed points of contraction mappings on Probabilistic Metric spaces", *Math. Systems Theory 6 (1972)*, 97-102.
- [18] Sherwood H., "Complete probabilistic metric spaces", *Z. wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie and verw. Gebiete 20 (1971)*, 117-128.
- [19] Singh S.L. and Pant B.D., "Common fixed point theorems in Probabilistic metric spaces and extension to uniform spaces", *Honam Math. J., 6 (1984)*, 1-12.
- [20] Singh S.L. and Pant B.D., "Fixed point theorem for commuting mappings in Probabilistic metric spaces", *Honam Math. Journal Vol-5 No-1, (1983)* 139-148.
- [21] Singh S.L. and Pant B.D., "Fixed points of mappings with diminishing orbital diameters", *The Punjab Univ. Jou. Vol. XIX (1986)* 99-105.

- [22] Singh S.L. and Pant B.D., "Fixed points of non-self mapping in probabilistic metric spaces", *J.U.P. Govt. College Acad-Vol-2* (1985-86), 139-142.
- [23] Singh S.L. and Pant B.D., "Non-Linear and hybrid contractions on Menger and uniform spaces", *Indian. J. P. Appl. Match* 25(10), (1994), 1039-1052.
- [24] Vasuki R., "Common Fixed point theorem on expansion mappings", *Math.. Japonica* 29 no.4 (1984), 631-636.
- [25] Boscan Gh., "On some fixed point theorems in Probabilistic metric spaces", *Math. balkanica*, 4 (1974), 67-70.
- [26] Chang S.S., "Fixed points theorems of mappings on Probabilistic metric spaces with applications", *Scientia Sinica SeriesA*, 25 (1983), 114-115.
- [27] Dedeic R. and Sarapa N., "Fixed point theorems for sequence of mappings on Menger spaces", *Math. Japonica*, 34 (4) (1988), 535-539.
- [28] Jungck G., "Compatible mappings and common Fixed points", *Internat. J. Math. Sci.*, 9 (1986), 771-779.
- [29] Mishra S. N., "Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM-Spaces", *Math. Japonica*, 36(2) (1991), 283-289.
- [30] Pant R. P., "Common fixed points of non-commuting mappings", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 188 (1994), 436-440.
- [31] Radu V., "On the contraction principle in Meneger spaces", *An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Stiint. Math.*, 22 (1984), 83-88.
- [32] Radu V., "On some contraction-type mappings in Menger spaces", *An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Stiint. Math.*, 23 (1985), 61-65.
- [33] Sharma S. and Choubey K., "Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in Menger spaces" *J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math.*, 10 (2003), 245-254.
- [34] Singh B. and Jain S., "Semi-compatibility and fixed point theorems in Menger space", *Journal of Chungcheong Mathematical Society* 17,no.1, (2004), 1-17.
- [35] Stojakovic M. "A common Fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces and its applications", *Glas. Math. Ser. III*, 23 (1988), 203-211.
- [36] Stojakovic M., "Common Fixed point theorems in complete metric and probabilistic metric spaces", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 36 (1987), 73-88.